UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,388	07/07/2006	Akiko Uchikawa	10873.1920USWO	2992
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			HUNTLEY, DANIEL CARROLL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,388	UCHIKAWA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LXAIIIIICI	AIT OIII	

		DANIEL HUNTLEY	3737				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress			
THE	REPLY FILED 08 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		<u>-</u>				
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
Exter	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 insions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN ⁻ 06.07(f).	THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
nave unde set fo may	been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exr 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amor shortened statutory period for reply o than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approportion	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause			
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. <u> </u>			te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. 🗵	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		will be entered and an	explanation of			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
٩FF	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation QUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	•		,			
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_				
		/Ruth S. Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 3737				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 1, specifically the phrase 'configured to' added in lines 6, 9, 19 and 22, add structural limitations to both the 'filter coefficient calculation portion' and the 'first spatial filter operation portion' that were previously recited as functional language and would require further consideration and/or search. Further, the elimination of means plus function language broadens the scope of the claimed invention. Napolitano('846) do teach spatial filtering of multiple receive beams from a single transmitted beam as well as a method for determining filter coefficients based on reception beam information such as range, elevation, and azimuth (col 14, lns 15-49).